

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 16679 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION : 19.10.2006

Jaganwati

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

PRESENT: Mr.Ashok Tyagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

M.M.KUMAR,J.

The prayer made in the instant petition by the petitioner is to reinstate him in service with consequential benefits of continuity of service and 40% of back wages in pursuance of award dated 3.4.2006 (Annexure P-1).

For the aforementioned relief, a specific provision is made in Section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 seeking execution of the award, which cannot be done by filing a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution. The petitioner is relegated to the remedy of seeking execution under Section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, if so advised. If such an execution application is filed, the same shall be disposed of expeditiously.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(M.M.KUMAR)
JUDGE

October 19 ,2006
TSM

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE